UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,334	09/01/2005	Katsumi Hirose	OGW-0389	3127
Patrick G. Burns - Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. Suite 2500			EXAMINER	
			MULCAHY, PETER D	
300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/547,334	HIROSE, KATSUMI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUNION AT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solution in the company and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AF	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 1. Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 7-12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) is/are with the solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 7-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and contact the solution of the sol	drawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/547,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 7-12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Soeda et al US 4,356,214.
- 4. The rejection set forth under 35 USC 102/103 in the paper mailed 11/16/08 is deemed proper and is herein repeated. Applicants arguments have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive. It is alleged by applicant that the claims are not anticipated because the limitation relative to pulverizing the composition at a temperature less than the brittle temperature is not specifically described. This is not persuasive. The art is silent as to the temperature at which the sealant is pulverized. Applicants allege that heating element 13 limits the art to being pulverized at a temperature above the brittle temperature. This is not persuasive. This portion of the art is directed to the extruding of the sealant. There is no discussion as to the

Application/Control Number: 10/547,334

Art Unit: 1796

temperature at which the sealant is pulverized. Further, there is no actual temperature limitation claimed. This is to say that the actual temperature at which the sealant is pulverized can vary depending upon the property of the sealant. The sealant in the art is pulverized at a temperature. The claims are limited to sealants pulverized at a temperature below the brittle temperature. Relative to the claim limitation, there are two ranges of temperatures. The range of temperatures below the brittle temperature of the sealant, falling within the scope of the claims and, the temperature above the brittle temperature which is outside the scope of the claim. The art does not distinguish between the ranges and is silent as to the actual temperature.

Page 3

- 5. Rejections under 35 USC 1032/103 are appropriate when the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § § 2112- 2112.02.
- 6. The claims are directed to a species of the invention where the sealant is pulverized at a temperature below the brittle temperature. There are two possible alternatives, the temperature is either below the brittle temperature of the sealant, falling within the scope of the claims, or the temperature is above the brittle temperature, falling outside the scope of the claim. Rejections under 35 USC 1032/103 are further appropriate when the reference teaches a small genus which places a claimed species in the possession of the public as in In re Schaumann, 572 F.2d 312, 197 USPQ 5

(CCPA 1978), and the species would have been obvious even if the genus were not sufficiently small to justify a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102. See MPEP § § 2131.02 and 2144.08 for more information on anticipation and obviousness of species by a disclosure of a genus.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/547,334 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796